

Bath & North East Somerset Council

DECISION MAKER:	Cllr Anthony Clarke, Cabinet Member for Transport		
DECISION DATE:	On or after 21st October 2015	EXECUTIVE FORWARD PLAN REFERENCE:	
		E	2802
TITLE:	(VARIOUS ROADS, PEASEDOWN ST JOHN) (PROHIBITION AND RESTRICTION OF PARKING AND LOADING) (NO STOPPING ON ENTRANCE MARKINGS) (AUTHORISED AND DESIGNATED PARKING PLACES) (VARIATION NO. 1) ORDER 201- and (VARIOUS ROADS IN NORTH EAST SOMERSET) (PROHIBITION AND RESTRICTION OF PARKING AND LOADING) (AUTHORISED PARKING PLACES) ORDER 201- consideration of responses to public consultations		
WARD:	Bathavon, Bathford, Clutton, Farmborough, Freshford, Mendip, Midsomer Norton, Paulton, Peasedown St John, Publow, Radstock, Redfield, Timsbury, Twerton, Westfield, Whitchurch.		
AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM			
List of attachments to this report:			
Appendix 1 – Plans of proposals			
Appendix 2 –Comments received in response to public consultation			

1 THE ISSUE

1.1 This report considers comments received in response to public advertisement of the Traffic Regulation Order: (Various Roads, Peasedown St John)(Prohibition and Restriction of Parking and Loading)(No Stopping on Entrance Markings)(Authorised and Designated Parking Places) (Variation No.1)Order 201- and (Various Roads in North East Somerset)(Prohibition and Restriction of Parking and Loading)(Authorised Parking Places) Order 201-

2 RECOMMENDATION

The Cabinet Member is asked to agree that advertised proposals are implemented, modified or withdrawn as below:

2.1 Restrictions as detailed on plans B4 and C4

Roads affected: Wellow Lane, Braysdown Lane and Tynning Road in Peasedown St. John

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposals are modified to only include restrictions around

the junctions of Braysdown Lane and Tynning Road. The restrictions affecting the southern side of Wellow Lane should be removed at this time due to the expressed wishes of Peasedown Parish Council on the grounds of the limited availability of on-street parking in this area. The restrictions affecting the northern side of Wellow Lane, west of the junction with Wellow Tynning, should also be removed on similar grounds.

2.2 Restrictions as detailed on plan A2

Road affected: Church Road in Peasedown St. John

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised with the support of Peasedown Parish Council, receiving no objections. It is also intended, at the wish of the Parish Council, that the location is monitored for a possible extension of the restrictions in future proposals if needed.

2.3 Restrictions as detailed on plan C3

Road affected: Russett Way in Peasedown St. John

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is withdrawn at this time as the Council received 3 objections and only one response of support, with the location not deemed as having exceptional safety concerns.

2.4 Restrictions as detailed on plans C4, D3 and D4

Roads affected: Foxcote Avenue and Roman Way in Peasedown St. John, that make up the Bath Business Park

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposals are withdrawn at this time as the Council received 11 objections. One response of support was received, however this response also stated that the proposals as advertised wouldn't solve the problems faced in this area. Since the proposals were drafted a new management company has been appointed to maintain the Business Park and were not made aware of these proposals by the previous company. As such, it is recommended that location is re-examined separate to this Order.

2.5 Restrictions as detailed on plan B3

Road affected: Sunnyside View in Peasedown St. John

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised with the support of Peasedown Parish Council, having received no objections.

2.6 Restrictions as detailed on plan C2

Road affected: Belle Vue Close in Peasedown St. John

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised with the support of Peasedown Parish Council, having received no objections.

2.7 Restrictions as detailed on plan 3

Road affected: Northend in Batheaston

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.8 Restrictions as detailed on plan 5

Roads affected: High Street and Farm Lane in Wellow

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised, despite receiving one objection. The proposals were made at the request of local councillors and the local school in response to safety concerns.

2.9 Restrictions as detailed on plan 6

Roads affected: Waterside Way and Waterside Road in Westfield

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.10 Restrictions as detailed on plan 9

Road affected: High Street in Bathford

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposals are modified to lessen the extent of the restrictions, echoing the concerns received regarding the lack of on-street parking at this location.

2.11 Restrictions as detailed on plan 10

Road affected: Salisbury Road in Paulton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.12 Restrictions as detailed on plan 11

Road affected: Bannerdown Road in Batheaston

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is withdrawn at this time as the Council received one objection to the proposals stating them unnecessary, and Batheaston Parish Council submitted a neutral stance. The location is not deemed as having exceptional safety concerns and so it is recommended that the proposal is withdrawn.

2.13 Restrictions as detailed on plan 13

Road affected: Sleep Lane in Whitchurch

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.14 Restrictions as detailed on plan 14

Road affected: North Way in Midsomer Norton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is withdrawn at this time as an objection was received, with no responses of support.

2.15 Restrictions as detailed on plan 15

Road affected: Catherine Way in Batheaston

Restriction: Removal of No Waiting At Any Time markings

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.16 Restrictions as detailed on plan 19

Road affected: Brookside Drive in Farmborough

Restriction: No Stopping on School Entrance Markings, Mon – Fri, 8am – 8pm

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.17 Restrictions as detailed on plan 20

Roads affected: Waterside Way and Waterside Road in Westfield

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.18 Restrictions as detailed on plan 24

Road affected: West Road in Midsomer Norton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.19 Restrictions as detailed on plan 25

Road affected: Laburnum Grove in Midsomer Norton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.20 Restrictions as detailed on plan 26

Roads affected: The Timbers and Charlton Road in Midsomer Norton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.21 Restrictions as detailed on plan 29

Road affected: Clevedon Road

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is modified to incorporate concerns received regarding the reduction in the on-street parking stock at this location. It is recommended that the northerly restrictions either side of Clevedon road go ahead as advertised, and the southerly restrictions are reduced to cover the distance of the dropped kerbs only. This would recover 3 on-street parking spaces on site.

2.22 Restrictions as detailed on plan 31

Road affected: Ashley Road in Bathford

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is withdrawn at this time as 3 objections were received, including a 19 signature petition, in comparison to only one response in support.

2.23 Restrictions as detailed on plan 34

Road affected: Station Road in Clutton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.24 Restrictions as detailed on plan 35

Roads affected: Woodborough Lane and Springfield Crest in Radstock

Restrictions: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time, No Loading / Unloading At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.25 Restrictions as detailed on plan 36

Roads affected: Chilcompton Road, Riverside Gardens and Oliver Brooks Road in Midsomer Norton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.26 Restrictions as detailed on plan 40

Roads affected: Barton Well in Paulton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received, and a response of support was submitted from a local councillor.

2.27 Restrictions as detailed on plan 41

Roads affected: High Street, Publow Lane and Church Street in Pensford

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposals relating to Publow Lane and the High Street are implemented as advertised due to reasons of safety, however the restrictions relating to Church Street are modified and limited to its junction with the A37, with the restrictions on the southerly side of the junction being reduced to mirror that of the northern side. It is recommended that rest of the restrictions affecting Church Street,

further west from this point, are withdrawn at this time due to the high number of objections received by the Council. It has been proposed that the situation will be monitored and the option of introducing timed parking bays on either side of the section of Church Street north of Culvery Lane will be explored.

2.28 Restrictions as detailed on plan 42

Roads affected: Railway View Place in Midsomer Norton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised, despite the Council receiving one objection, for reasons of safety.

2.29 Restrictions as detailed on plan 43

Roads affected: Spencer Drive in Midsomer Norton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.30 Restrictions as detailed on plan 44

Roads affected: Cobbler's Way in Westfield

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as the Council received one submission of support from a local resident, along with no objections.

2.31 Restrictions as detailed on plan 45

Roads affected: North Road and Lippiatt Lane in Timsbury

Restrictions: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time, No Loading / Unloading At Any Time, Goods Vehicles Loading Only Bay Mon–Fri, 9.30am-11.30am and 1pm-3pm

Recommendation: That the proposals are implemented as advertised, despite the Council receiving 3 objections, on the grounds of improving visibility and safety. The Council also received 1 response of support and another that agreed something had to be done at this location.

2.32 Restrictions as detailed on plan 46

Roads affected: Chapel Lawns and Springfield Place in Radstock

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as the Council received one submission of support from a local resident, along with no objections.

2.33 Restrictions as detailed on plan 47

Roads affected: Temple in Lane and Meadway in Temple Cloud

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is modified due to the number of objections received to remove the westerly restrictions on Temple Inn Lane from the proposals, but keeping the restrictions wrapping around the junction with Meadway for reasons of safety.

2.34 Restrictions as detailed on plan 48

Roads affected: Welton Grove in Midsomer Norton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

2.35 Restrictions as detailed on plan 49

Roads affected: Winterfield Road and Bloomfield Rise in Paulton

Restriction: Prohibit and restrict parking At Any Time

Recommendation: That the proposal is withdrawn at this time due to the strength of objections received and due to the fact that the comments in support wish for greater restrictions than those proposed. It is suggested that the situation is monitored and re-examined separate to this Order.

2.36 Restrictions as detailed on plan 50

Roads affected: Norton Lane in Whitchurch

Restriction: 3 Hour Limited Waiting, Mon – Fri, 8am – 6pm, No Return Within 2 Hours

Recommendation: That the proposal is implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 The cost of this work is estimated to be £4-6k and is funded from within the Transport Improvement Block capital programme, which is funded by the DfT Integrated Transport Block grant.

3.2 Lines have a life expectancy of between 7 and 10 years. The consultation process included Highways and no concerns were raised regarding on-going maintenance costs and these works can be incorporated within the existing revenue budget. The highways maintenance budget is prioritised for road safety issues in the first instance; however parking restrictions do need to be maintained to ensure enforcement can be undertaken and these will be incorporated in existing budgets as part of those works.

4 CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

4.1 The following corporate objectives apply:

- Creating neighbourhoods where people are proud to live
- Building a stronger economy

5 THE REPORT

5.1 The proposals were publicly advertised from 8th September to 26th September 2015. The proposals are shown in plan form in Appendix 1. The proposals were developed as the result of the concerns of the Traffic & Safety, Parking and Traffic Management Team, Ward Councillors and local residents caused by increasing problems related to parking, which is becoming a greater concern on many streets around Bath & North East Somerset due to the increasing volume of vehicles on our roads

and the growing number of vehicles parking inappropriately. A total of 79 responses were received during the public consultation. The responses are summarised in Appendix 2.

5.2 Consideration needs to be given to the responses received and a decision made on the way forward. Common Law states the highway is for the passage and re-passage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on the highway but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. The consideration of objections to the introduction of controls has to be considered in this context. There is also no legal right to park on the highway either outside a property or even within a specific street, however a number of the comments received stated that the parking situation in some of these locations is self-regulating between residents and that new restrictions are not required. The Council therefore due to the strength and number of objections towards some of the proposals recommended they be abandoned at this time.

5.3 The TRO is being proposed as it is the duty of every local authority to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities as set out in section 122 Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (RTRA). The Council has considered and taken on board the local knowledge of residents and in some cases recommend to remove the proposal as it is understood that no issue regarding the safe passage and re-passage of vehicles exist on site.

6 RISK MANAGEMENT

6.1 The report author and Cabinet member have fully reviewed the risk assessment related to the issue and recommendations, in compliance with the Council's decision making risk management guidance.

7 EQUALITIES

7.1 An EqIA has been completed. No adverse or other significant issues were found.

8 RATIONALE

8.1 The proposals are designed to address operational traffic issues.

9 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

9.1 None considered.

10 CONSULTATION

10.1 Ward Councillor; Cabinet members; Staff; Other B&NES Services; Local Residents; Other Public Sector Bodies; Section 151 Finance Officer; Monitoring Officer

10.2 Ward Councillors, Emergency Services and local residents have been consulted via public advertisement. Internal staff have been consulted via circulation of this report.

11 ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN REACHING THE DECISION

11.1 Social Inclusion; Customer Focus; Sustainability; Health & Safety; Other Legal Considerations

12 ADVICE SOUGHT

The Council's Monitoring Officer (Divisional Director – Legal and Democratic Services) and Section 151 Officer (Divisional Director - Finance) have had the opportunity to input to this report and have cleared it for publication.

Contact person	Ariane Robinson, TRO Technical Officer 01225 394602
Background papers	
Please contact the report author if you need to access this report in an alternative format	